

FREQUENCY-RESPONSE ANALYSIS

8.1: Motivation to study frequency-response methods

- Advantages and disadvantages to root-locus design approach:

ADVANTAGES:

- Good indicator of transient response.
- Explicitly shows location of closed-loop poles. \Rightarrow Tradeoffs are clear.

DISADVANTAGES:

- Requires transfer function of plant be known.
 - Difficult to infer all performance values.
 - Hard to extract steady-state response (sinusoidal inputs).
- Frequency-response methods can be used to *supplement* root locus:
 - Can infer performance and stability from same plot.
 - Can use measured data when no model is available.
 - Design process is independent of system order (# poles).
 - Time delays handled correctly ($e^{-s\tau}$).
 - Graphical techniques (analysis/synthesis) are “quite simple.”

What is a frequency response?

- We want to know how a linear system responds to sinusoidal input, in steady state.

- Consider system $Y(s) = G(s)U(s)$ with input $u(t) = u_0 \cos(\omega t)$, so

$$U(s) = u_0 \frac{s}{s^2 + \omega^2}.$$

- With zero initial conditions,

$$Y(s) = u_0 G(s) \frac{s}{s^2 + \omega^2}.$$

- Do a partial-fraction expansion (assume distinct roots)

$$Y(s) = \frac{\alpha_1}{s - a_1} + \frac{\alpha_2}{s - a_2} + \dots + \frac{\alpha_n}{s - a_n} + \frac{\alpha_0}{s - j\omega} + \frac{\alpha_0^*}{s + j\omega}$$

$$y(t) = \underbrace{\alpha_1 e^{a_1 t} + \alpha_2 e^{a_2 t} + \dots + \alpha_n e^{a_n t}}_{\text{If stable, these decay to zero.}} + \alpha_0 e^{j\omega t} + \alpha_0^* e^{-j\omega t}.$$

$$y_{ss}(t) = \alpha_0 e^{j\omega t} + \alpha_0^* e^{-j\omega t}.$$

- Let $\alpha_0 = Ae^{j\phi}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} y_{ss} &= Ae^{j\phi} e^{j\omega t} + Ae^{-j\phi} e^{-j\omega t} \\ &= A (e^{j(\omega t + \phi)} + e^{-j(\omega t + \phi)}) \\ &= 2A \cos(\omega t + \phi). \end{aligned}$$

We find α_0 via standard partial-fraction-expansion means:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_0 &= [(s - j\omega)Y(s)]_{s=j\omega} \\ &= \left[\frac{u_0 s G(s)}{(s + j\omega)} \right]_{s=j\omega} \\ &= \frac{u_0(j\omega)G(j\omega)}{(2j\omega)} = \frac{u_0 G(j\omega)}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

- Substituting into our prior result

$$y_{ss} = u_0 |G(j\omega)| \cos(\omega t + \angle G(j\omega)).$$

- Important LTI-system fact: If the input to an LTI system is a sinusoid, the “steady-state” output is a sinusoid of the same frequency but different amplitude and phase.

FORESHADOWING: Transfer function at $s = j\omega$ tells us response to a sinusoid...but also about stability as $j\omega$ -axis is stability boundary!

EXAMPLE: Suppose that we have a system with transfer function

$$G(s) = \frac{2}{3 + s}.$$

- Then, the system’s frequency response is


$$G(j\omega) = \frac{2}{3 + s} \Big|_{s=j\omega} = \frac{2}{3 + j\omega}.$$

- The magnitude response is

$$A(j\omega) = \left| \frac{2}{3 + j\omega} \right| = \frac{|2|}{|3 + j\omega|} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{(3 + j\omega)(3 - j\omega)}} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{9 + \omega^2}}.$$

- The phase response is

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(j\omega) &= \angle \left(\frac{2}{3 + j\omega} \right) \\ &= \angle(2) - \angle(3 + j\omega) \\ &= 0 - \tan^{-1}(\omega/3). \end{aligned}$$



- Now that we know the amplitude and phase response, we can find the amplitude gain and phase change caused by the system for any specific frequency.

- For example, if $\omega = 3 \text{ rad s}^{-1}$,


$$A(j3) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{9 + 9}} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}$$

$$\phi(j3) = -\tan^{-1}(3/3) = -\pi/4.$$

8.2: Plotting a frequency response

- There are two common ways to plot a frequency response \rightsquigarrow the magnitude and phase for all frequencies.

EXAMPLE:



$$G(s) = \frac{1}{1 + RCs}$$

- Frequency response

$$\begin{aligned} G(j\omega) &= \frac{1}{1 + j\omega RC} \quad (\text{let } RC = 1) \\ &= \frac{1}{1 + j\omega} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \omega^2}} \angle -\tan^{-1}(\omega). \end{aligned}$$

- We will need to separate magnitude and phase information from rational polynomials in $j\omega$.
 - Magnitude = magnitude of numerator / magnitude of denominator

$$\frac{\sqrt{\mathbb{R}(\text{num})^2 + \mathbb{I}(\text{num})^2}}{\sqrt{\mathbb{R}(\text{den})^2 + \mathbb{I}(\text{den})^2}}.$$

- Phase = phase of numerator – phase of denominator


$$\tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\mathbb{I}(\text{num})}{\mathbb{R}(\text{num})} \right) - \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\mathbb{I}(\text{den})}{\mathbb{R}(\text{den})} \right).$$

Plot method #1: Polar plot in complex plane

- Evaluate $G(j\omega)$ at each frequency for $0 \leq \omega < \infty$.
- Result will be a complex number at each frequency: $a + jb$ or $Ae^{j\phi}$.

- Plot each point on the complex plane at $(a + jb)$ or $Ae^{j\phi}$ for each frequency-response value.
- Result = polar plot.
- We will later call this a “Nyquist plot”.


ω	$G(j\omega)$
0	1.000 \angle 0.0°
0.5	0.894 \angle - 26.6°
1.0	0.707 \angle - 45.0°
1.5	0.555 \angle - 56.3°
2.0	0.447 \angle - 63.4°
3.0	0.316 \angle - 71.6°
5.0	0.196 \angle - 78.7°
10.0	0.100 \angle - 84.3°
∞	0.000 \angle - 90.0°



- The polar plot is parametric in ω , so it is hard to read the frequency-response for a specific frequency from the plot.
- We will see later that the polar plot will help us determine stability properties of the plant and closed-loop system.

Plot method #2: Magnitude and phase plots

- We can replot the data by separating the plots for magnitude and phase making two plots versus frequency.



- The above plots are in a natural scale, but usually a log-log plot is made \Rightarrow This is called a “Bode plot” or “Bode diagram.”

Reason for using a logarithmic scale

- Simplest way to display the frequency response of a rational-polynomial transfer function is to use a Bode Plot.
- Logarithmic $|G(j\omega)|$ versus logarithmic ω , and logarithmic $\angle G(j\omega)$ versus ω .

REASON:

$$\log_{10} \left(\frac{ab}{cd} \right) = \log_{10} a + \log_{10} b - \log_{10} c - \log_{10} d.$$

- The polynomial factors that contribute to the transfer function can be split up and evaluated separately.

$$G(s) = \frac{(s + 1)}{(s/10 + 1)}$$

$$G(j\omega) = \frac{(j\omega + 1)}{(j\omega/10 + 1)}$$

$$|G(j\omega)| = \frac{|j\omega + 1|}{|j\omega/10 + 1|}$$

$$\log_{10} |G(j\omega)| = \log_{10} \sqrt{1 + \omega^2} - \log_{10} \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{\omega}{10}\right)^2}.$$

- Consider:

$$\log_{10} \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_n}\right)^2}$$

- For $\omega \ll \omega_n$,


$$\log_{10} \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_n}\right)^2} \approx \log_{10}(1) = 0.$$

- For $\omega \gg \omega_n$,

$$\log_{10} \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_n}\right)^2} \approx \log_{10} \left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_n}\right).$$

KEY POINT: Two straight lines on a log-log plot; intersect at $\omega = \omega_n$.

- Typically plot $20 \log_{10} |G(j\omega)|$; that is, in dB.




- A transfer function is made up of first-order zeros and poles, complex zeros and poles, constant gains and delays. We will see how to make straight-line (magnitude- and phase-plot) approximations for all these, and combine them to form the appropriate Bode diagram.

8.3: Bode magnitude diagrams (a)

- The $\log_{10}(\cdot)$ operator lets us break a transfer function up into pieces.
- If we know how to plot the Bode plot of each piece, then we simply add all the pieces together when we're done.

Bode magnitude: Constant gain

- $\text{dB} = 20 \log_{10} |K|$.
- Not a function of frequency. Horizontal straight line. If $|K| < 1$, then negative, else positive.




Bode magnitude: Zero or pole at origin

- For a zero at the origin,

$$G(s) = s$$

$$\text{dB} = 20 \log_{10} |G(j\omega)|$$

$$= 20 \log_{10} |j\omega| \text{ dB.}$$




- For a pole at the origin,

$$G(s) = \frac{1}{s}$$

$$\text{dB} = 20 \log_{10} |G(j\omega)|$$

$$= -20 \log_{10} |j\omega| \text{ dB.}$$



- Both are straight lines, slope = ± 20 dB per decade of frequency.
 - *Line intersects ω -axis at $\omega = 1$.*
- For an n th-order pole or zero at the origin,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dB} &= \pm 20 \log_{10} |(j\omega)^n| \\ &= \pm 20 \log_{10} \omega^n \\ &= \pm 20n \log_{10} \omega. \end{aligned}$$

- Still straight lines.
- Still intersect ω -axis at $\omega = 1$.
- *But, slope = $\pm 20n$ dB per decade.*

Bode magnitude: Zero or pole on real axis, but not at origin

- For a zero on the real axis, (LHP or RHP), the standard Bode form is

$$G(s) = \left(\frac{s}{\omega_n} \pm 1 \right),$$

which ensures unity dc-gain.

- If you start out with something like

$$G(s) = (s + \omega_n),$$

then factor as

$$G(s) = \omega_n \left(\frac{s}{\omega_n} + 1 \right).$$

Draw the gain term (ω_n) separately from the zero term ($s/\omega_n + 1$).

- In general, a LHP or RHP zero has standard Bode form

$$G(s) = \left(\frac{s}{\omega_n} \pm 1 \right)$$

$$G(j\omega) = \pm 1 + j \left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_n} \right)$$


$$20 \log_{10} |G(j\omega)| = 20 \log_{10} \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_n} \right)^2}$$

- For $\omega \ll \omega_n$, $20 \log_{10} \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_n} \right)^2} \approx 20 \log_{10} \sqrt{1} = 0$.
- For $\omega \gg \omega_n$, $20 \log_{10} \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_n} \right)^2} \approx 20 \log_{10} \left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_n} \right)$.
- Two straight lines on a log scale which intersect at $\omega = \omega_n$.
- For a pole on the real axis, (LHP or RHP) standard Bode form is

$$G(s) = \left(\frac{s}{\omega_n} \pm 1 \right)^{-1}$$

$$20 \log_{10} |G(j\omega)| = -20 \log_{10} \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_n} \right)^2}$$

This is the same except for a minus sign.



8.4: Bode magnitude diagrams (b)

Bode magnitude: Complex zero pair or complex pole pair

- For a complex-zero pair (LHP or RHP) standard Bode form is

$$\left(\frac{s}{\omega_n}\right)^2 \pm 2\zeta \left(\frac{s}{\omega_n}\right) + 1,$$

which has unity dc-gain.

- If you start out with something like

$$s^2 \pm 2\zeta \omega_n s + \omega_n^2,$$

which we have seen before as a “standard form,” the dc-gain is ω_n^2 .

- Convert forms by factoring out ω_n^2

$$s^2 \pm 2\zeta \omega_n s + \omega_n^2 = \omega_n^2 \left[\left(\frac{s}{\omega_n}\right)^2 \pm 2\zeta \left(\frac{s}{\omega_n}\right) + 1 \right].$$

- Complex zeros do not lend themselves very well to straight-line approximation.

- If $\zeta = 1$, then this is $\left(\frac{s}{\omega_n} \pm 1\right)^2$.

- Double real zero at ω_n \Rightarrow slope of 40 dB/decade.



- For $\zeta \neq 1$, there will be overshoot or undershoot at $\omega \approx \omega_n$. For other values of ζ :

- The dip frequency is at $\omega_d = \omega_n \sqrt{1 - 2\zeta^2}$ and the value at this frequency is $|H(j\omega_d)| = 20 \log_{10}(2\zeta \sqrt{1 - \zeta^2})$. Note that there is no dip unless $0 < \zeta < 0.707 = 1/\sqrt{2}$.

- We write complex poles (LHP or RHP) as

$$G(s) = \left[\left(\frac{s}{\omega_n} \right)^2 \pm 2\zeta \left(\frac{s}{\omega_n} \right) + 1 \right]^{-1}.$$

- The resonant peak frequency is at $\omega_r = \omega_n \sqrt{1 - 2\zeta^2}$ and the value at this frequency is $|H(j\omega_r)| = -20 \log_{10}(2\zeta \sqrt{1 - \zeta^2})$. Note that there is no peak unless $0 < \zeta < 0.707 = 1/\sqrt{2}$.
- For $\omega \ll \omega_n$, magnitude ≈ 0 dB.
- For $\omega \gg \omega_n$, magnitude slope = -40 dB/decade.



Bode magnitude: Time delay

- $G(s) = e^{-s\tau} \quad \dots \quad |G(j\omega)| = 1.$
- $20 \log_{10} 1 = 0$ dB.
- Does not change magnitude response.

EXAMPLE: Sketch the Bode magnitude plot for


$$G(s) = \frac{2000(s + 0.5)}{s(s + 10)(s + 50)}.$$

- The first step is to convert the terms of the transfer function into “Bode standard form”.

$$G(s) = \frac{2000(s + 0.5)}{s(s + 10)(s + 50)} = \frac{\frac{2000 \cdot 0.5}{10 \cdot 50} \left(\frac{s}{0.5} + 1\right)}{s \left(\frac{s}{10} + 1\right) \left(\frac{s}{50} + 1\right)}$$

$$G(j\omega) = \frac{2 \left(\frac{j\omega}{0.5} + 1\right)}{j\omega \left(\frac{j\omega}{10} + 1\right) \left(\frac{j\omega}{50} + 1\right)}$$

- We can see that the components of the transfer function are:
 - DC gain of $20 \log_{10} 2 \approx 6 \text{ dB}$;
 - Pole at origin;
 - One real zero not at origin, and
 - Two real poles not at origin.



8.5: Bode phase diagrams (a)

- Bode diagrams consist of the magnitude plots we have seen so far,
- *BUT*, also phase plots. These are just as easy to draw.
- *BUT*, they differ depending on whether the dynamics are RHP or LHP.

Finding the phase of a complex number

- Plot the location of the number as a vector in the complex plane.
- Use trigonometry to find the phase.
- For numbers with positive real part,

$$\angle(\#) = \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\mathbb{I}(\#)}{\mathbb{R}(\#)} \right).$$

- For numbers with negative real part,

$$\angle(\#) = 180^\circ - \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\mathbb{I}(\#)}{|\mathbb{R}(\#)|} \right).$$


- If you are lucky enough to have the “atan2(y, x)” function, then

$$\angle(\#) = \text{atan2}(\mathbb{I}(\#), \mathbb{R}(\#))$$

for *any* complex number.

- Also note,

$$\angle \left(\frac{ab}{cd} \right) = \angle(a) + \angle(b) - \angle(c) - \angle(d).$$



Finding the phase of a complex function of ω

- This is the same as finding the phase of a complex number, if specific values of ω are substituted into the function.

Bode phase: Constant gain

- $G(s) = K$.
- $\angle(K) = \begin{cases} 0^\circ, & K \geq 0; \\ -180^\circ, & K < 0. \end{cases}$
- Constant phase of 0° or -180° .

Bode phase: Zero or pole at origin

- Zero: $G(s) = s, \dots G(j\omega) = j\omega = \omega \angle 90^\circ$.
- Pole: $G(s) = \frac{1}{s}, \dots G(j\omega) = \frac{1}{j\omega} = \frac{-j}{\omega} = \frac{1}{\omega} \angle -90^\circ$.
- Constant phase of $\pm 90^\circ$.


Bode phase: Real LHP zero or pole

- Zero: $G(s) = \left(\frac{s}{\omega_n} + 1 \right)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \angle G(j\omega) &= \angle \left(j \frac{\omega}{\omega_n} + 1 \right) \\ &= \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_n} \right). \end{aligned}$$

- Pole: $G(s) = \frac{1}{\left(\frac{s}{\omega_n} + 1 \right)}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \angle G(j\omega) &= \angle(1) - \angle \left(j \frac{\omega}{\omega_n} + 1 \right) \\ &= -\tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_n} \right). \end{aligned}$$




Bode phase: Real RHP zero or pole

■ Zero: $G(s) = \left(\frac{s}{\omega_n} - 1 \right)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \angle G(j\omega) &= \angle \left(j \frac{\omega}{\omega_n} - 1 \right) \\ &= 180^\circ - \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_n} \right). \end{aligned}$$

■ Pole: $G(s) = \frac{1}{\left(\frac{s}{\omega_n} - 1 \right)}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \angle G(j\omega) &= \angle(1) - \angle \left(j \frac{\omega}{\omega_n} - 1 \right) \\ &= - \left(180^\circ - \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_n} \right) \right) \\ &= -180^\circ + \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_n} \right). \end{aligned}$$




8.6: Bode phase diagrams (b)


Bode phase: Complex LHP zero pair or pole pair

- Complex LHP zeros cause phase to go from 0° to 180° .
- Complex LHP poles cause phase to go from -180° to 0° .
- Transition happens in about $\pm\zeta$ decades, centered at ω_n .

Bode Phase: Complex LHP zeros




Bode Phase: Complex LHP poles




Bode phase: Complex RHP zero pair or pole pair

- Complex RHP zeros cause phase to go from 360° to 180° .
- Complex RHP poles cause phase to go from -360° to -180° .

Bode Phase: Complex RHP zeros



Bode Phase: Complex RHP poles




Bode phase: Time delay

- $G(s) = e^{-s\tau}$,

$$G(j\omega) = e^{-j\omega\tau} = 1 \angle -\omega\tau$$

$$\angle G(j\omega) = -\omega\tau \text{ in radians.}$$

$$= -56.3\omega\tau \text{ in degrees.}$$




- Note: Line \rightarrow curve in log scale.

EXAMPLE: Sketch the Bode phase plot for

$$G(s) = \frac{2000(s + 0.5)}{s(s + 10)(s + 50)} \quad \text{or} \quad G(j\omega) = \frac{2(j\omega/0.5 + 1)}{j\omega(j\omega/10 + 1)(j\omega/50 + 1)},$$

where we converted to “Bode standard form” in a prior example.

- Constant: $K = +2$. Zero phase contribution.
- Pole at origin: Phase contribution of -90° .
- Two real LHP poles: Phase from 0° to -90° , each.
- One real LHP zero: Phase from 0° to 90° .



EXAMPLE: Sketch the Bode magnitude and phase plots for


$$G(s) = \frac{1200 (s + 3)}{s (s + 12) (s + 50)}.$$

- First, we convert to Bode standard form, which gives

$$G(s) = \frac{1200 (3) \left(1 + \frac{s}{3}\right)}{s (12) (50) \left(1 + \frac{s}{12}\right) \left(1 + \frac{s}{50}\right)}$$

$$G(j\omega) = \frac{6 \left(1 + \frac{j\omega}{3}\right)}{j\omega \left(1 + \frac{j\omega}{12}\right) \left(1 + \frac{j\omega}{50}\right)}.$$

- Positive gain, one real LHP zero, one pole at origin, two real LHP poles.



8.7: Some observations based on Bode plots

Nonminimum-phase systems

- A system is called a nonminimum-phase if it has pole(s) or zero(s) in the RHP.
- Consider

$$G_1(s) = 10 \frac{s + 1}{s + 10} \left. \begin{array}{l} \text{zero at } -1 \\ \text{pole at } -10 \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{l} \text{minimum} \\ \text{phase} \end{array}$$

$$G_2(s) = 10 \frac{s - 1}{s + 10} \left. \begin{array}{l} \text{zero at } +1 \\ \text{pole at } -10 \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{l} \text{nonminimum} \\ \text{phase} \end{array}$$


- The magnitude responses of these two systems are:

$$|G_1(j\omega)| = 10 \frac{|j\omega + 1|}{|j\omega + 10|} = 10 \frac{\sqrt{\omega^2 + 1}}{\sqrt{\omega^2 + 100}}$$

$$|G_2(j\omega)| = 10 \frac{|j\omega - 1|}{|j\omega + 10|} = 10 \frac{\sqrt{\omega^2 + 1}}{\sqrt{\omega^2 + 100}}$$

which are the same!

- The phase responses are very different:



- Note that the change in phase of G_1 is much smaller than change of phase in G_2 . Hence G_1 is “minimum phase” and G_2 is “nonminimum-phase”

- Non-minimum phase usually associated with delay.

$$G_2(s) = G_1(s) \underbrace{\frac{s-1}{s+1}}_{\text{Delay}}$$

- Note: $\frac{s-1}{s+1}$ is very similar to a first-order Padé approximation to a delay. It is the same when evaluated at $s = j\omega$.
- Consider using feedback to control a nonminimum-phase system. What do the root-locus plotting techniques tell us?
- Consequently, nonminimum-phase systems are harder to design controllers for; step response often tends to “go the wrong way,” at least initially.

Steady-state errors from Bode magnitude plot

- Recall our discussion of steady-state errors to step/ramp/parabolic inputs versus “system type” (summarized on pg. 4–25)
- Consider a *unity-feedback* system.
- If the open-loop plant transfer function has N poles at $s = 0$ then the system is “type N ”
 - K_p is error constant for type 0.
 - K_v is error constant for type 1.
 - K_a is error constant for type 2...
- For a unity-feedback system, $K_p = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} G(s)$.
 - At low frequency, a type 0 system will have $G(s) \approx K_p$.
 - We can read this off the Bode-magnitude plot directly!

- Horizontal y -intercept at low frequency = K_p .

$$\implies e_{ss} = \frac{1}{1 + K_p} \quad \text{for step input.}$$

- $K_v = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} sG(s)$, and is nonzero for a type 1 system.

- At low frequency, a type 1 system will have $G(s) \approx \frac{K_v}{s}$.
- At low frequency, $|G(j\omega)| \approx \frac{K_v}{\omega}$. Slope of -20 dB/decade.
- Use the above approximation to extend the low-frequency asymptote to $\omega = 1$. The asymptote (*NOT THE ORIGINAL $|G(j\omega)|$*) evaluated at $\omega = 1$ is K_v .



$$\implies e_{ss} = \frac{1}{K_v} \quad \text{for ramp input.}$$

- $K_a = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} s^2G(s)$, and is nonzero for a type 2 system.

- At low frequency, a type 2 system will have $G(s) \approx \frac{K_a}{s^2}$.
- At low frequency, $|G(j\omega)| \approx \frac{K_a}{\omega^2}$. Slope of -40 dB/decade.
- Again, use approximation to extend low-frequency asymptote to $\omega = 1$. The asymptote evaluated at $\omega = 1$ is K_a .

$$\implies e_{ss} = \frac{1}{K_a} \quad \text{for parabolic input.}$$

- Similar for higher-order systems.

**EXAMPLE 1:**

- Horizontal as $\omega \rightarrow 0$, so we know this is type 0.
- Intercept = 6 dB... $K_p = 6 \text{ dB} = 2$ [linear units].

EXAMPLE 2:

- Slope = -20 dB/decade as $\omega \rightarrow 0$, so we know this is type 1.
- Extend slope at low frequency to $\omega = 1$.
- Intercept = 20 dB... $K_v = 20 \text{ dB} = 10$ [linear units].


8.8: Stability revisited

- If we know the closed-loop *transfer function* of a system in rational-polynomial form, we can use Routh to find stable ranges for K .
- **Motivation:** What if we only have open-loop *frequency response*?

A simple example



- Consider, for now, that we know the transfer-function of the system, and can plot the root-locus.

EXAMPLE:



- We see neutral stability at $K = 2$. The system is stable for $K < 2$ and unstable for $K > 2$.
- Recall that a point is on the root locus if $|KG(s)| = 1$ and $\angle G(s) = -180^\circ$.
- If system is neutrally stable, $j\omega$ -axis will have a point (points) where $|KG(j\omega)| = 1$ and $\angle G(j\omega) = -180^\circ$.

- Consider the Bode plot of $KG(s)$...
- A neutral-stability condition from Bode plot is: $|KG(j\omega_o)| = 1$ AND $\angle KG(j\omega_o) = -180^\circ$ at the same frequency ω_o .
- In this case, increasing $K \rightarrow$ instability $\Rightarrow |KG(j\omega)| < 1$ at $\angle KG(j\omega) = -180^\circ =$ stability.
- In some cases, decreasing $K \rightarrow$ instability $\Rightarrow |KG(j\omega)| > 1$ at $\angle KG(j\omega) = -180^\circ =$ stability.



KEY POINT: We can find neutral stability point on Bode plot, but don't (yet) have a way of determining if the system is stable or not. Nyquist found a frequency-domain method to do so.


Nyquist stability

- Poles of closed-loop transfer function in RHP—the system is unstable.
- Nyquist found way to count closed-loop poles in RHP.
- If count is greater than zero, system is unstable.
- Idea:
 - First, find a way to count closed-loop poles inside a contour.
 - Second, make the contour equal to the RHP.
- Counting is related to complex functional mapping.

8.9: Interlude: Complex functional mapping


- Nyquist technique is a graphical method to determine system stability, regions of stability and *MARGINS* of stability.
- Involves graphing complex functions of s as a polar plot.

EXAMPLE: Plotting $f(x)$, a real function of a real variable x .




- This can be done.

EXAMPLE: Plotting $F(s)$, a complex function of a complex variable s .




NO! This is wrong!


- Must draw mapping of points or lines from s -plane to $F(s)$ -plane.



EXAMPLE: $F(s) = 2s + 1 \dots$ “map the four points: A, B, C, D ”



EXAMPLE: Map a square contour (closed path) by $F(s) = \frac{s}{s+2}$.



FORESHADOWING: By drawing maps of a specific contour, using a mapping function related to the plant open-loop frequency-response, we will be able to determine closed-loop stability of systems.


Mapping function: Poles of the function

- When we map a contour containing (encircling) poles and zeros of the mapping function, this *map* will give us information about how many poles and zeros are encircled by the contour.
- Practice drawing maps when we know poles and zeros. Evaluate


$$G(s)|_{s=s_o} = G(s_o) = |\vec{v}|e^{j\alpha}$$

$$\alpha = \sum \angle(\text{zeros}) - \sum \angle(\text{poles}).$$


EXAMPLE:



- In this example, there are no zeros or poles inside the contour. The phase α increases and decreases, but never undergoes a net change of 360° (does not encircle the origin).

EXAMPLE:

- One pole inside contour. Resulting map undergoes 360° net phase change. (Encircles the origin).

EXAMPLE:

- In this example, there are two poles inside the contour, and the map encircles the origin twice.

8.10: Cauchy's theorem and Nyquist's rule


- These examples give heuristic evidence of the general rule: Cauchy's theorem

“Let $F(s)$ be the ratio of two polynomials in s . Let the closed curve C in the s -plane be mapped into the complex plane through the mapping $F(s)$. If the curve C does not pass through any zeros or poles of $F(s)$ as it is traversed in the CW direction, the corresponding map in the $F(s)$ -plane encircles the origin $N = Z - P$ times in the CW direction,” where

$$Z = \# \text{ of zeros of } F(s) \text{ in } C,$$


$$P = \# \text{ of poles of } F(s) \text{ in } C.$$

- Consider the following feedback system:




$$T(s) = \frac{D(s)G(s)}{1 + D(s)G(s)H(s)}.$$

- For closed-loop stability, no poles of $T(s)$ in RHP.
 - No zeros of $1 + D(s)G(s)H(s)$ in RHP.
 - Let $F(s) = 1 + D(s)G(s)H(s)$.
 - Count zeros in RHP using Cauchy theorem! (Contour=entire RHP).




- The Nyquist criterion simplifies Cauchy's criterion for feedback systems of the above form.

- Cauchy: $F(s) = 1 + D(s)G(s)H(s)$. $N = \#$ of encirclements of origin.
- Nyquist: $F(s) = D(s)G(s)H(s)$. $N = \#$ of encirclements of -1 .



- Simple? YES!!!
- Think of Nyquist path as four parts:
 - I. Origin. Sometimes a special case (later examples).
 - II. $+j\omega$ -axis. FREQUENCY-response of O.L. system! Just plot it as a polar plot.
 - III. For physical systems $=0$.
 - IV. Complex conjugate of II.



- So, for most physical systems, the Nyquist plot, used to determine *CLOSED-LOOP* stability, is merely a polar plot of *LOOP* frequency response $D(j\omega)G(j\omega)H(j\omega)$.
- We don't even need a mathematical model of the system. Measured data of $G(j\omega)$ combined with our known $D(j\omega)$ and $H(j\omega)$ are enough to determine closed-loop stability.


THE TEST:

- $N = \#$ encirclements of -1 point when $F(s) = D(s)G(s)H(s)$.
- $P = \#$ poles of $1 + F(s)$ in RHP = $\#$ of open-loop unstable poles. (assuming that $H(s)$ is stable—reasonable).
- $Z = \#$ of zeros of $1 + F(s)$ in RHP = $\#$ of closed-loop unstable poles.

$$Z = N + P$$

The system is stable iff $Z = 0$.

- Be careful counting encirclements!
- Draw line from -1 in any direction.
- Count $\#$ crossings of line and diagram.
- $N = \#$ CW crossings $-\#$ CCW crossings.



- Changing the gain K of $F(s)$ *MAGNIFIES* the entire plot.

ENHANCED TEST: Loop transfer function is $KD(s)G(s)H(s)$.

- $N = \#$ encirclements of $-1/K$ point when $F(s) = D(s)G(s)H(s)$.
- Rest of test is the same.
- Gives ranges of K for stability.

8.11: Nyquist test example

EXAMPLE: $D(s) = H(s) = 1$.

$$G(s) = \frac{5}{(s+1)^2}$$


$$\text{or, } G(j\omega) = \frac{5}{(j\omega+1)^2}$$

I: At $s = 0$, $G(s) = 5$.

II: At $s = j\omega$, $G(j\omega) = \frac{5}{(1+j\omega)^2}$.

III: At $|s| = \infty$, $G(s) = 0$.

IV: At $\frac{s}{5} = -j\omega$, $G(s) = \frac{5}{(1-j\omega)^2}$.



ω	$\Re(G(j\omega))$	$\Im(G(j\omega))$
0.0000	5.0000	0.0000
0.0019	4.9999	-0.0186
0.0040	4.9998	-0.0404
0.0088	4.9988	-0.0879
0.0191	4.9945	-0.1908
0.0415	4.9742	-0.4135
0.0902	4.8797	-0.8872
0.1959	4.4590	-1.8172
0.4258	2.9333	-3.0513
0.9253	0.2086	-2.6856
2.0108	-0.5983	-0.7906
4.3697	-0.2241	-0.1082
9.4957	-0.0536	-0.0114
20.6351	-0.0117	-0.0011
44.8420	-0.0025	-0.0001
97.4460	-0.0005	-0.0000
500.0000	-0.0000	-0.0000

- No encirclements of -1 , $N = 0$.
- No open-loop unstable poles $P = 0$.
- $Z = N + P = 0$. Closed-loop system is stable.
- No encirclements of $-1/K$ for any $K > 0$.
 - So, system is stable for any $K > 0$.

- Confirm by checking Routh array.
- Routh array: $a(s) = 1 + KG(s) = s^2 + 2s + 1 + 5K$.

$$\begin{array}{c|cc} s^2 & 1 & 1 + 5K \\ s^1 & 2 & \\ s^0 & 1 + 5K & \end{array}$$

- Stable for any $K > 0$.

EXAMPLE: $G(s) = \frac{50}{(s+1)^2(s+10)}$.

I: $G(0) = 50/10 = 5$.



II: $G(j\omega) = \frac{50}{(j\omega+1)^2(j\omega+10)}$.

III: $G(\infty) = 0$.

IV: $G(-j\omega) = G(j\omega)^*$.

- Note loop to left of origin. System is *NOT* stable for all $K > 0$.


ω	$\Re(G(j\omega))$	$\Im(G(j\omega))$
0	5.0000	0
0.1	4.9053	-0.8008
0.2	4.4492	-1.8624
0.5	2.4428	-3.2725
1.2	-0.5621	-2.0241
2.9	-0.4764	-0.1933
7.1	-0.0737	0.0262
17.7	-0.0046	0.0064
43.7	-0.0002	0.0006
100.0	-0.0000	0.0000



8.12: Nyquist test example with pole on $j\omega$ -axis

EXAMPLE: Pole(s) at origin. $G(s) = \frac{1}{s(\tau s + 1)}$.

- **WARNING!** We cannot blindly follow procedure!
- Nyquist path goes through pole at zero! (Remember from Cauchy's theorem that the path cannot pass directly through a pole or zero.)
- Remember: We want to count closed-loop poles inside a "box" that encompasses the RHP.
- So, we use a slightly-modified Nyquist path.



- The bump at the origin makes a detour around the offending pole.
- Bump defined by curve: $s = \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \rho e^{j\theta}$, $0^\circ \leq \theta \leq 90^\circ$.
- From above,

$$G(s)|_{s=\rho e^{j\theta}} = \frac{1}{\rho e^{j\theta}(\tau \rho e^{j\theta} + 1)}, \quad 0^\circ \leq \theta \leq 90^\circ$$

- Consider magnitude as $\rho \rightarrow 0$

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} |G(s)|_{s=\rho e^{j\theta}} = \frac{1}{\rho |\tau \rho e^{j\theta} + 1|} \approx \frac{1}{\rho}$$

- Consider phase as $\rho \rightarrow 0$

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \angle G(s)|_{s=\rho e^{j\theta}} = -\theta - \angle(\tau \rho e^{j\theta} + 1).$$

- So,

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} G(\rho e^{j\theta}) = \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\rho} \angle -\theta^+$$


- This is an arc of infinite radius, sweeping from 0° to -90° (a little more than 90° because of contribution from $\frac{1}{(\tau s + 1)}$ term).
- WE CANNOT DRAW THIS TO SCALE!**

- $Z = N + P$.

- $N = \#$ encirclements of -1 . $N = 0$.

- $P = \#$ Loop transfer function poles inside *MODIFIED* contour. $P = 0$.

- $Z = 0$. Closed-loop system is stable.



EXAMPLE:

$$G(s) = \frac{1}{s^2(s+1)}$$

- Use modified Nyquist path again

I: Near origin


$$G(s)|_{s=\rho e^{j\theta}} = \frac{1}{\rho^2 e^{j2\theta} (1 + \rho e^{j\theta})}$$

- Magnitude: $\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} |G(\rho e^{j\theta})| = \frac{1}{\rho^2 |1 + \rho e^{j\theta}|} \approx \frac{1}{\rho^2}$.

- Phase: $\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \angle G(\rho e^{j\theta}) = 0 - [2\theta + \angle(1 + \rho e^{j\theta})] \approx -2\theta^+$. So,

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} G(\rho e^{j\theta}) = \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\rho^2} \angle -2\theta^+ \quad 0^\circ \leq \theta \leq 90^\circ.$$

- Infinite arc from 0° to $-180^\circ+$ (a little more than -180° because of $\frac{1}{1+s}$ term.)
- $Z = N + P = 2 + 0 = 2$. Unstable for $K = 1$.
- In fact, unstable for any $K > 0$!



- Matlab for above

$$G(s) = \frac{1}{s^3 + s^2 + 0s + 0}$$

```
num=[0 0 0 1];
```

```
den=[1 1 0 0];
```

```
nyquist1(num,den);
```

```
axis([xmin xmax ymin ymax]);
```

- “nyquist1.m” is available on course web site.
- It repairs the standard Matlab “nyquist.m” program, which doesn’t work when poles are on imaginary axis.
- “nyquist2.m” is also available. It draws contours around poles on the imaginary axis in the opposite way to “nyquist1.m”. Counting is different.

8.13: Stability (gain and phase) margins

- A large fraction of systems to be controlled are stable for small gain but become unstable if gain is increased beyond a certain point.
- The distance between the current (stable) system and an unstable system is called a “stability margin.”
- Can have a gain margin and a phase margin.

GAIN MARGIN: Factor by which the gain is less than the neutral stability value.

- Gain margin measures “How much can we increase the gain of the loop transfer function $L(s) = D(s)G(s)H(s)$ and still have a stable system?”

- Many Nyquist plots are like this one. Increasing loop gain magnifies the plot.


- $GM = 1/(\text{distance between origin and place where Nyquist map crosses real axis})$.

- If we increase gain, Nyquist map “stretches” and we may encircle -1 .

- For a stable system, $GM > 1$ (linear units) or $GM > 0$ dB.

PHASE MARGIN: Phase factor by which phase is greater than neutral stability value.

- Phase margin measures “How much delay can we add to the loop transfer function and still have a stable system?”





- $PM = \text{Angle to rotate Nyquist plot to achieve neutral stability} = \text{intersection of Nyquist with circle of radius } 1.$
- If we increase open-loop delay, Nyquist map “rotates” and we may encircle -1 .
- For a stable system, $PM > 0^\circ$.

IRONY: This is usually easiest to check on Bode plot, even though derived on Nyquist plot!

- Define gain crossover as frequency where Bode magnitude is 0 dB.
- Define phase crossover as frequency where Bode phase is -180° .

- $GM = 1/(\text{Bode gain at phase-crossover frequency})$ if Bode gain is measured in linear units.
- $GM = (-\text{Bode gain at phase-crossover frequency})$ [dB] if Bode gain measured in dB.
- $PM = \text{Bode phase at gain-crossover} - (-180^\circ)$.



- We can also determine stability as K changes. Instead of defining gain crossover where $|G(j\omega)| = 1$, use the frequency where $|KG(j\omega)| = 1$.

- You need to be careful using this test.
 - It works if you apply it blindly and the system is minimum-phase.
 - You need to think harder if the system is nonminimum-phase.
 - Nyquist is the safest bet.

PM and performance

- A bonus of computing PM from the open-loop frequency response graph is that it can help us predict closed-loop system performance.
- PM is related to damping. Consider open-loop 2nd-order system

$$G(s) = \frac{\omega_n^2}{s(s + 2\zeta\omega_n)}$$



with unity feedback,

$$T(s) = \frac{\omega_n^2}{s^2 + 2\zeta\omega_n s + \omega_n^2}$$

- The relationship between PM and ζ is: (for this system)

$$PM = \tan^{-1} \left[\frac{2\zeta}{\sqrt{\sqrt{1 + 4\zeta^4} - 2\zeta^2}} \right]$$

- For $PM \leq 60^\circ$, $\zeta \approx \frac{PM}{100}$, so can also infer M_p from PM .



8.14: Preparing for control using frequency-response methods

Bode's gain-phase relationship

- “For any stable minimum-phase system (that is, one with no RHP zeros or poles), the phase of $G(j\omega)$ is uniquely related to the magnitude of $G(j\omega)$ ”

- Relationship: $\angle G(j\omega_o) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{dM}{du} \right) W(u) du$ (in radians)

$$M = \ln |G(j\omega)|$$

$$u = \ln \left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_o} \right)$$


$$\frac{dM}{du} \approx \text{slope } n \text{ of log-mag curve at } \omega = \omega_o$$

$$W(u) = \text{weighting function} = \ln(\coth |u|/2)$$

- $W(u) \approx \frac{\pi^2}{2} \delta(u)$. Using this relationship, $\angle G(j\omega) \approx n \times 90^\circ$ if slope of Bode magnitude-plot is constant in the decade-neighborhood of ω .

- So, if $\angle G(j\omega) \approx -90^\circ$ if $n = -1$.
- So, if $\angle G(j\omega) \approx -180^\circ$ if $n = -2$.

KEY POINT: Want crossover $|G(j\omega)| = 1$ at a slope of about -1 for good PM . We will soon see how to do this (design!).



Closed-loop frequency response

- Most of the notes in this section have used the open-loop frequency response to predict closed-loop behavior.
- How about closed-loop frequency response?

$$T(s) = \frac{K D(s)G(s)}{1 + K D(s)G(s)}.$$

- General approximations are simple to make. If,

$$|K D(j\omega)G(j\omega)| \gg 1 \quad \text{for } \omega \ll \omega_c$$

$$\text{and } |K D(j\omega)G(j\omega)| \ll 1 \quad \text{for } \omega \gg \omega_c$$

where ω_c is the cutoff frequency where open-loop magnitude response crosses magnitude=1.

$$|T(j\omega)| = \left| \frac{K D(j\omega)G(j\omega)}{1 + K D(j\omega)G(j\omega)} \right| \approx \begin{cases} 1, & \omega \ll \omega_c; \\ |K D(j\omega)G(j\omega)|, & \omega \gg \omega_c. \end{cases}$$

- Note: $\omega_c \leq \omega_{bw} \leq 2\omega_c$.